
WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by timmyerich - 2007/06/01 21:41

WAR ON IRAQ, WHAT ARE YOUR THOUGHTS?

Personally, I hate the idea of war, especially the continued war on Iraq, those thousands of innocent people who died in the Bagdad bombings because of Australian and American troops, its sick really, I don't support it, and if you do, your basically SICK to me.

STOP WAR ON IRAQ NOW! :)

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Gumdropster - 2007/06/02 01:36

I think this war started as a war ON Iraq, but now it's turned into a war IN Iraq because the US government are afraid of fighting wars closer to home.

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Eric M - 2007/06/02 20:20

I suport our toops over there even though i do not like the idea of war. My Friend Nick is over there risking his life fight this war and that is why i suport our troops. I would like to see the end of the war in Iraq and see all of our troops home.

as U2's BONO YEILS durring SUNDAY BLOODY SUNDAY "NO MORE NO MORE"

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T1CCMqm1EBg>

This is for my friend Nick and all the troops

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9sf973L_fBI

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by jag2010 - 2007/06/03 03:39

The war in Iraq was inevitable after 9/11 and Afghanistan. 9/11 gave the US a perfect chance to start picking on those standing in its way of cheaper oil. Even then, there is significant evidence behind the 9/11 conspiracy theory (watch 'Loose Change - 2nd Edition' – then tell me there's not SOMETHING funny going on). I'm not saying we shouldn't have gone to war, but the reasons were completely unjustified.

Tony Blair sheepishly admitted on National Television that there was basically little evidence of the presence of 'Weapons of Mass Destruction™'. Surely combined Brit/US 'intelligence' could have at least come up with something before the war! It's funny how several Republican politicians hold key positions in various oil companies... must just be a coincidence.

I must have overslept the day the world appointed America as the Global Chief Constable. What gives America the right to churn all this tripe about nationals possessing nuclear arms? It's pure megalomaniacal hypocrisy. I know these other nations are far less politically stable, 'volatile' perhaps, but it's like a teacher passing notes in class.

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by jag2010 - 2007/06/03 03:39

(Argh! My posts keep coming up twice!)

Post edited by: jag2010, at: 2007/06/03 03:41

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by MormonMale - 2007/06/05 12:43

getting suddamn out of there: good idea. Still there to force a government onto a race that has had traditions since Abraham: bad idea. I support the people dying, and don't support the war since it turned from save usa from suddam and terrorists to "let's make a whole new government for a country and cost this amount of money for funding it: <http://costofwar.com/index-aids.html> "

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Soulfire - 2007/06/05 16:42

9/11 gave the US a perfect chance to start picking on those standing in its way of cheaper oil
You say this like it's a bad thing. How many times a day do your drive (or ride) somewhere? How many times do you flip on a lightswitch for light, or turn a faucet for water? My guess is quite a few ... And most of it is powered by oil. Oil that we need to be cheaper.

That being said, if the U.S. is to maintain it's policy of a campaign for human rights, then it has a duty to pressure governments to convert to democracy. Nobody said it would be an easy process, for nothing worthwhile is easy.

Sure, the U.S. could pull out now -- but we'd leave a country in more disarray than at the beginning.

Removing Saddam was a great idea, as he has in the past proven he will use force against other nations (Invading Iran starting the Iran-Iraq war, invading Kuwait, etc.). Saddam also ignored many attempts at U.N. help + pressure. He was asking for war, begging for war.

That's not to say that we shouldn't have a plan. I honestly think we need a huge troop surge to push out the insurgency, and get together a withdrawl plan. I think 2008 may be too soon for a withdrawl, but we need to be thinking about finishing this war. It is clear that slapping a banner on an aircraft carrier that says "Mission Accomplished" does not mean the end of war -- America still has a ways to go.

[http://geek-usa.mu.nu/archives/USArmy%20--%20doing%20the%20work%20of%20RU-DE-FR%20\(2\).jpg](http://geek-usa.mu.nu/archives/USArmy%20--%20doing%20the%20work%20of%20RU-DE-FR%20(2).jpg)

Post edited by: Soulfire, at: 2007/06/05 16:43

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Gumdropster - 2007/06/06 04:08

Fuck oil, all we need is water! lol... Oil will be gone soon, so it NEEDS to be expensive so fewer can afford it so you guys will get a new solution BEFORE it runs out. Like here in Norway where 95 % of our energy comes from waterpower... (But 80 % of our income or more comes from oil sale :P)

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Tyler360 - 2007/06/06 11:56

I think President Bush definitely made the right decision to go to war. However, I think it's time to get out of there. Far too many lives have been taken. Now that Saddam Hussein is gone, I think we can leave. We also have to remember that the Bible says that that region of the world will be in turmoil in the end times, so I don't know how much better we can make the situation if it's what God has intended to happen. Just my thoughts on the matter, I guess.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by jag2010 - 2007/06/06 12:36

The longer people are reliant on a non-renewable resource because of it's current availability will just exaggerate the negative effects of it eventually running out. Plus a sustainable world will mean a lot less hassle in so many ways.

Anyway, sensible private investors are already making millions in wind power whilst benefiting the industry. All the greedy corporate mugs are scratching their heads vaguely wondering what to do when the oils goes.

Check out: <http://www.moneyweek.com/file/27599/could-private-equity-re-energise-the-us-power-sector.html>

Now that Saddam Hussein is gone, I think we can leave

...and maybe leave a few blank cheques lying around courtesy of the George Bush for the clean-up op...

We can pretty much all agree that Saddam being removed from power was a good call, if a little late; but US, UK, etc have dug themselves into a hole they can't easily get out of. Poor planning. Short-sighted cost-cutting US Republican's, and pompous corner-cutting Brits. Anyway, I'd mess it up if I tried to sort that mess out.

Post edited by: jag2010, at: 2007/06/06 12:40

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by ryan^_^ - 2007/06/06 22:46

It's not really a war anymore, as there is no government power against another. It's the occupation of Iraq now. . . Just thought I;d point that out before I give my opinion.

Well, after 9/11, I think we can all agree the war was inevitable, especially as the war has helped the United States economically. The United States economy is reliant on oil, and since Sadam had anti-american beliefs, the oil wouldn't have got to the United States, and well it's economy would have suffered somewhat. Also, the occupation is a great excuse to give explanations as to why gas prices keep increasing . . . Think about it, a crisis in Iraq, Afganistan, or Iran happens, and although the crisis is ended, the prcies still remain at the same price . . . It's a ploy to cover up the fact the world is pretty much at it's peak of oil. I personally think that the United States had to go into Iraq to ensure it's economy for the present and the future, as if the U.S. collapses, the world will get affected on a massive level. All the world's superpowers are linked economically one way or another, and when one falls, all the other will go with it . . .Domino Effect pretty much.

As for the intial invasion of Iraq, it was illegal, but as stated, inevitable . . . War at times is inevitable, but it will never be justifiable, and there can be no true winner. Pretty much, the invasion / war should nevver have started as it breached international law, but what's done is done . . . Let's focus on fixing the problem . . .

Now, the current occupation of Iraq must be upheld by the United States or a United Nations peace making force, as leaving Iraq qill cause a lot more lives to be lost, and it will screw over a lot of other countries who get it's oil from Iraq.

Summary: I believe the more obvious reason for oil and economic ensurance to be a positive idea, the United States needs to survive and remain powerful or the world will suffer . . . It's one of the downfalls of globalization . . . The intial invasion was illegal, but we need to look beyond that, we need to fix the current problems. To do the aforementioned task, the United States or another force needs to get Iraq to a state where it;s government can fix things on it's own.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by ryan^_^ - 2007/06/06 22:46

It's not really a war anymore, as there is no government power against another. It's the occupation of Iraq now. . . Just thought I;d point that out before I give my opinion.

Well, after 9/11, I think we can all agree the war was inevitable, especially as the war has helped the United States economically. The United States economy is reliant on oil, and since Saddam had anti-american beliefs, the oil wouldn't have got to the United States, and well it's economy would have suffered somewhat. Also, the occupation is a great excuse to give explanations as to why gas prices keep increasing . . . Think about it, a crisis in Iraq, Afganistan, or Iran happens, and although the crisis is ended, the prices still remain at the same price . . . It's a ploy to cover up the fact the world is pretty much at it's peak of oil. I personally think that the United States had to go into Iraq to ensure it's economy for the present and the future, as if the U.S. collapses, the world will get affected on a massive level. All the world's superpowers are linked economically one way or another, and when one falls, all the other will go with it . . . Domino Effect pretty much.

As for the initial invasion of Iraq, it was illegal, but as stated, inevitable . . . War at times is inevitable, but it will never be justifiable, and there can be no true winner. Pretty much, the invasion / war should never have started as it breached international law, but what's done is done . . . Let's focus on fixing the problem . . .

Now, the current occupation of Iraq must be upheld by the United States or a United Nations peace making force, as leaving Iraq will cause a lot more lives to be lost, and it will screw over a lot of other countries who get it's oil from Iraq.

Summary: I believe the more obvious reason for oil and economic ensurance to be a positive idea, the United States needs to survive and remain powerful or the world will suffer . . . It's one of the downfalls of globalization . . . The initial invasion was illegal, but we need to look beyond that, we need to fix the current problems. To do the aforementioned task, the United States or another force needs to get Iraq to a state where it's government can fix things on it's own.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by devinious - 2007/06/10 19:30

"War

What is it good for?

Abselutely NOTHING!"

-Rush Hour

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by glosboy - 2007/06/11 07:53

I'll try and not go on too much of a rant on this subject lol.

In my opinion, we should never of gone to Iraq. Firstly lets look at the reasons why we went to war (well the reasons we were told anyway).

Initially, it was claimed that Iraq had WMD's, despite the fact that senior UN officials such as Hans Blix believed otherwise and said that they just needed more time to do there job in Iraq. Oh and lets not forget that the UN didn't support the war. Iraq was a sovereign nation which had never threatened the US or UK, never attacked in any way and they were cooperating with the UN (to a certain extent), Making the invasion and thereafter occupation illegal, as stated by Kofi Annan http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/middle_east/3661134.stm

When it became obvious that the whole pretext to war was a complete fallacy, it was suddenly all about the "liberation" of the Iraqi people and removing Saddam. Now this is the area I know some people will disagree. Obviously Saddam Hussain was an evil man, none one will argue that. But Is Iraq better off without him in its current situation?! No. over 600,000 innocent Iraqi civilians have died sine the invasion in 2003 http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/10/10/AR2006101001442_pf.html

Over 1.2 million have fled their homes in Iraq seeking refuge in surrounding countries

<http://www.thenation.com/doc/20070507/jamail> So the liberation of the Iraqi people?! Yeah they must be really chuffed with us..

The Iraq war is and always has been about money and lots of it!! Haliburton has made well over \$10 billion since the invasion http://www.truthout.org/docs_04/121004A.shtml, anyone know who the CO of Haliburton was? If you don't know

it's Dick Cheney <http://www.cnn.com/2004/ALLPOLITICS/06/24/cheney.leahy/>

As for George Bush and daddy oh and Georges uncle, they are investors in the Carlyle group. This is the main defence contractors to the US military.

Oh and there's the oil! Quick news quiz

The U.S. government is exerting enormous pressure on the Iraqi parliament to pass a law regarding the disposition of the nation's oil riches which would:

- A) fairly distribute the wealth between Shiites, Sunnis and Kurds living in different regions.
- B) even-handedly apportion the windfall among competing interests located on Mars, Pluto and Venus.
- C) Give 81% of Iraqi oil to multi-national oil companies.

Hint: it doesn't go to the Iraqi's or other planets.

Anyway I'm done for now. I may have more to say later lol

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by glosboy - 2007/06/11 07:53

I'll try and not go on too much of a rant on this subject lol.

In my opinion, we should never of gone to Iraq. Firstly lets look at the reasons why we went to war (well the reasons we were told anyway).

Initially, it was claimed that Iraq had WMD's, despite the fact that senior UN officials such as Hans Blix believed otherwise and said that they just needed more time to do there job in Iraq. Oh and lets not forget that the UN didn't support the war. Iraq was a sovereign nation which had never threatened the US or UK, never attacked in any way and they were cooperating with the UN (to a certain extent), Making the invasion and thereafter occupation illegal, as stated by Kofi Annan http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/middle_east/3661134.stm

When it became obvious that the whole pretext to war was a complete fallacy, it was suddenly all about the "liberation" of the Iraqi people and removing Saddam. Now this is the area I know some people will disagree. Obviously Saddam Hussain was an evil man, none one will argue that. But Is Iraq better off without him in its current situation?! No. over 600,000 innocent Iraqi civilians have died sine the invasion in 2003 http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/10/10/AR2006101001442_pf.html

Over 1.2 million have fled their homes in Iraq seeking refuge in surrounding countries

<http://www.thenation.com/doc/20070507/jamail> So the liberation of the Iraqi people?! Yeah they must be really chuffed with us..

The Iraq war is and always has been about money and lots of it!! Haliburton has made well over \$10 billion since the invasion http://www.truthout.org/docs_04/121004A.shtml, anyone know who the CEO of Haliburton was? If you don't know it's Dick Cheney <http://www.cnn.com/2004/ALLPOLITICS/06/24/cheney.leahy/>

As for George Bush and daddy oh and Georges uncle, they are investors in the Carlyle group. This is the main defence contractors to the US military.

Oh and there's the oil! Quick news quiz

The U.S. government is exerting enormous pressure on the Iraqi parliament to pass a law regarding the disposition of the nation's oil riches which would:

- A) fairly distribute the wealth between Shiites, Sunnis and Kurds living in different regions.
- B) even-handedly apportion the windfall among competing interests located on Mars, Pluto and Venus.
- C) Give 81% of Iraqi oil to multi-national oil companies.

Hint: it doesn't go to the Iraqi's or other planets.

Anyway I'm done for now. I may have more to say later lol

Post edited by: glosboy, at: 2007/06/11 07:55

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by timmyerich - 2007/06/12 04:34

I'm Atheist, good point, but I dont believe in God,
hmmm.... now PRESIDENT BUSH, ha ha ha, there is words to describe that dumbass...

>>>>>>>>

IF YOU LIKE HIM THEN DONT GET OFFENDED

<<<<<<<<

-OLD HAD
-PLAIN BITCH
-FAT FAGGOT
-DICK HEAD
-ARSE HOLE
- lol, sorry but its true,

Cya, timmy loves u!

Tyler360 wrote:

I think President Bush definitely made the right decision to go to war. However, I think it's time to get out of there. Far too many lives have been taken. Now that Saddam Hussein is gone, I think we can leave. We also have to remember that the Bible says that that region of the world will be in turmoil in the end times, so I don't know how much better we can make the situation if it's what God has intended to happen. Just my thoughts on the matter, I guess.

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by glosboy - 2007/06/12 09:35

Yup Bush it an utter moron, but a criminal too along with the rest of his family
<http://img77.imageshack.us/img77/4458/bushfamilyrb5.jpg>

Would I be controversial if I said Bush would make Hitler proud?! lol

<http://img503.imageshack.us/img503/1029/bushhitlerheillt5.gif>

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by glosboy - 2007/06/12 09:35

Yup Bush it an utter moron, but a criminal too along with the rest of his family
<http://img77.imageshack.us/img77/4458/bushfamilyrb5.jpg>

Would I be controversial if I said Bush would make Hitler proud?! lol

<http://img503.imageshack.us/img503/1029/bushhitlerheillt5.gif>

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by glosboy - 2007/06/12 09:41

Oh and if people didn't know or believe that Georges grandfather Prescott Bush supported and funded the Nazi party here's a link, look it up for yourselves too if ya like <http://www.guardian.co.uk/usa/story/0,12271,1312540,00.html>

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by UnoriginalName - 2007/06/12 20:41

-Getting Saddam out of Iraq was needed.
-It's time to leave and let the country operate itself, however, the troops can't just leave in a day. A plan needs to be set to bring everyone home.
-Washington needs to stop being concerned about oil, and start focusing on alternative fuel sources.
-"Rush Hour" sucked.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Soulfire - 2007/06/13 17:34

-Washington needs to stop being concerned about oil, and start focusing on alternative fuel sources.
-"Rush Hour" sucked.
Alternative fuel is expensive. Money we don't have, no matter how necessary it is. If there were a real shortage of oil, gas would be MUCH more expensive and there would be MUCH more effort into finding alternative fuels.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by HYB91 - 2007/06/14 14:40

i've heard about the Nazi supply thing before. I have a day to day calender of Bushisms, mistakes made by george bush, a whole years worth!!

The war on iraq is a load of bo||ocks. If the U.S. stayed out of other countris i think it would be lot less f*cked up. The U.S. put Suddam into power in the first place, the supplied Osama and his army with everything they need and more to fight the Russians not to mention that the Bin Laden family is very rich and family friends with the Bush's. America taught the Afgans how to car-bomb.

Suddam decided to stand on his own 2 feet and told the Americans to f off, and became a mass murdering f*ck head. Bush pointed this out and said 'look, look what hes doing! We've got to go in there to get Suddam and then continue his job of murdering Iraq's and in the process kill our men off aswell!

Bush seems to like killing off their people. The war in Iraq is useless and i believe all they want is oil. Thats one of the reasons why i believe 9/11 done my the Americans. Once those planes had crashed they spread news to the world that muslims are terrorists and that Osama had planned it. This gave them an excuse to start a war. There is absolutly no proof public to this day that has any evidence to suggest that muslims/iraq's/osama had anything to do with it. Alot of people made alot of money that day and Bush certainly gained alot of power. He started the War on Terror. Infact, the only case of terrorism for the past god knows how long was 9/11.

:D I think im pretty good for someone whos just leaving school :)

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by glosboy - 2007/06/15 03:14

HYB91 wrote:

i've heard about the Nazi supply thing before. I have a day to day calender of Bushisms, mistakes made by george bush, a whole years worth!!

The war on iraq is a load of bo||ocks. If the U.S. stayed out of other countris i think it would be lot less f*cked up. The U.S. put Suddam into power in the first place, the supplied Osama and his army with everything they need and more to fight the Russians not to mention that the Bin Laden family is very rich and family friends with the Bush's. America taught the Afgans how to car-bomb.

Suddam decided to stand on his own 2 feet and told the Americans to f off, and became a mass murdering f*ck head. Bush pointed this out and said 'look, look what hes doing! We've got to go in there to get Suddam and then continue his job of murdering Iraq's and in the process kill our men off aswell!

Bush seems to like killing off their people. The war in Iraq is useless and i believe all they want is oil. Thats one of the reasons why i believe 9/11 done my the Americans. Once those planes had crashed they spread news to the world that muslims are terrorists and that Osama had planned it. This gave them an excuse to start a war. There is absolutly no proof public to this day that has any evidence to suggest that muslims/iraq's/osama had anything to do with it. Alot of people made alot of money that day and Bush certainly gained alot of power. He started the War on Terror. Infact, the only case of terrorism for the past god knows how long was 9/11.

:D I think im pretty good for someone whos just leaving school :)

Your totally right, spot on! Also, the US and the UK sold to Saddam Hussain all of those WMD's he was accused of having during the Iraq - Iran war which he later used on the Kurds. The Hypocrisy of it all!

With regards to Osama and 9/11, If you look on the FBI website, and look at the the FBI most wanted list you will see that 9/11 is not mentioned on Osama's profile, this is due to lack of evidence. The actual Osama 9/11 confession tape has been proved to be fake. http://zroxi.com/giproxy/nph-proxy.pl/000000A/http/infowars.net/articles/february2007/190207Osama_tape.htm

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by RavynMusic - 2007/09/01 20:40

After 9/11, something had to be done...
however, rivers of blood never brings peace, it only brings fear and obedience thru tyranny, or chaos

the war in iraq has completely lost its purpose (if it had any)... we've occupied the country for god noes how long, and gas continues to be scarce... also, in wars (which are generally fought between 2 opposing groups) such as this one, i wud like to pose one question to bush... who the hell are we fighting again??? i mean... taliban was overthrown, saddam was overthrown... who are these "terrorists/insurgents"?? they're iraqi militiamen, and the only way to stop them is to kill the citizenry *i hope i didn't give bush any ideas if he just happens to be reading this... but if he does... FUK U!!!!!!!!!!!!!"

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by chemical_flare - 2007/09/01 21:46

The war in Iraq has nothing to do with 9/11. AT ALL.

In fact, Saddam Hussein hated al Queda just as much if not more then we did.

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by theoneandonly - 2007/09/02 04:43

chemical_flare wrote:

The war in Iraq has nothing to do with 9/11. AT ALL.

In fact, Saddam Hussein hated al Queda just as much if not more then we did.

The war in Iraq does, kind of, have to do with 911. It's a part of the "War on Terrorism". If it wasn't for 911, I don't believe the American public would ever have supported the war in Iraq.

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Stevelovesboys1 - 2007/09/02 06:42

okay, lets clear something up, america is not in iraq to get oil. it would be nice if we got oil, but thats not why we're there. So, did we go in to remove Saddam from power? are we there to avenge the victims of 9/11? Certainly. Was saddam hiding weapons of mass destruction? no, but he was harboring and training terrorists who attack the U.S.(and england). The reason why america are losing so many people in this war is not because we are somehow inferior to the terrorists, its because we are sacrificing our soldiers to the people of iraq. People are going on about how we must respect the rights of Iraqi citizens and how they are innocent, so they must not be harmed in any way. These "innocents" are the people who paraded in the streets after 9/11. These "innocents" are the ones who are funding terrorists. Theses "innocents" are the ones who are shooting at our soldiers and blowing themselves up. The real innocents are the Iraqis who want a democracy, and they are being killed by the terrorists that america helps to keep in power. Saddam is dead. At this time, the problem is not in Iraq. Rather, it is in Iran, and if we have any hope of getting rid of these terrorists, we need to overthrow the Iranian government.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by chemical_flare - 2007/09/02 11:03

theoneandonly wrote:

chemical_flare wrote:

The war in Iraq has nothing to do with 9/11. AT ALL.

In fact, Saddam Hussein hated al Queda just as much if not more then we did.

The war in Iraq does, kind of, have to do with 911. It's a part of the "War on Terrorism". If it wasn't for 911, I don't believe the American public would ever have supported the war in Iraq. Let me re phrase that, Iraq and al Queda weren't at all connected, and Iraq did not support terrorism.

But you're right, the only reason Bush was able to trick the American public into invading Iraq is because he linked it to 9/11 (which there was no link).

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by rad55667 - 2007/09/04 12:45

Overthrowing the iranian government would acheive nothing, all that would create is another country in the turmoil Iraq currently faces, and massively increase this terrosrist threat that we find ourselves confronting. Yes Iran is at the mercy of a arsehole government, but may i remind you that they are only in power because the CIA overthrew their democracy in 1953 and replaced with a puppet dictator, who was soon removed after a bloody reveloution which left the Iranian people - who are not all mad overly-religious terrorists as they are portrayed by Bush - in the grips of Ayatollahs who keep power by brute force.

And Saddam Hussein may have been harbouring men who hate America, but he was NOT harbouring the ones who were responsible the horror that happened on 9/11. Osama Bin Laden detested Saddam, and offered his own troops to the Saudi King to fight aggainst Saddam when his armies threatened Saudi land, however he was ignored and Western troops were brought, starting the first Gulf War. Saddam had nothing to do with 9/11, this invasion could never have lowered the terrorist threat, we no nearer bringing the bastard responsible for 9/11 to justice, there was no evidence that Saddam was concelaing anything larger than a firework collection, and not only that another phycopathic dictaor - Kim-jong ill - (who strangely enough governs a country with almost no oil whatsoever) as quite recently made himself a nice - if primitive - little nuclear arsenal.

And to end i just want to say that what our troops face in Iraq is a war different from anything we haver ever faced, they are not fighting an army, or 'terrorists', they are fighting men no diffrent from you or me, except that they have gone some real hardship. Think about it, they spent years under the tyranny of a man who ruled the country with horrible brutality, but who was a master of propaganda and could - unless you got on the wrong side of him - provide you with security, a job, and the knowledge that your friends and loved ones will not be killed on the streets. Then an army from a country that you've always been told was 'evil' walks in and removes him, leaving you at first happy to be free of the bastard, but you now no longer have a job and there is nothing you can do but watch as your loved ones are killed in the chaos which now surrounds you, and all you can blame are this army who invade for a reason that you are unsure of. In these conditions a peaceful man is brought to the edge, and becomes a fuse waiting to be lit, and all it takes is for a couple of mad imams to say that this army has not only caused you so much suffering, but it is also at war with Islam, your faith.

And bang, the fuse is lit and every poor bastard in the country grabs a gun and fights not only as vengence for their suffering, but because they believe they have to defend their beliefs and religion. Not only this but now many Muslims around the world look upon the suffering of the Iraqi citizens, and think of it as the west making war with their religion- which it of course was never meant to be - but nonetheless - again with the help of mad imams - convinces angry, confused young men that it is right to blow themselves up in trains in order to destroy an 'infidel' they believe they are at war with.

Sorry i went on a bit there but this whole thing really pisses me off

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by rad55667 - 2007/09/04 12:45

Post edited by: rad55667, at: 2007/09/04 12:46

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by dannydanny - 2007/09/12 04:48

War is an easy way out.

Im sorry, i just

i cant accept

that in an age where we can send people to the moon,
the solution to our problems is to shoot somebodys brains out?

I think its wrong. I think its murder for a start.

And the iraq is a complete shambles. You cant really tell how many iraqi civillians have died, numbers range from 70,000 to 900,000 depending on where you read them and data can be manipulated but even if its 70,000, thats still 70,000 than need be. Thats civilians, NOT terrorists, or soldiers. Thats not 'freedom' for us, them or anyone else. Thats genocide. Thats what you DONT see on the news.

Post edited by: dannydanny, at: 2007/09/12 04:48

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by fsudude2010 - 2007/09/19 19:52

Ok first of all we are not there for oil. If we were gas prices in this country would not be as high as they are. Second, we tried diplomacy for many, many years. The UN would sanction Saddam and his nation. He would laugh it off. The UN inspctors were kicked out after a few weeks of "inspections". They were denied access to many locals in the country. The UN gave deadlines and did not enforce them. So the US did. Oh and to disagree with Dany, we see and hear that stuff on the news everyday!! What we dont see are the children and people huging allied soliders. Our engineers rebuilding schools, hosipitals, homes, etc. Death sells peace and love dont. Geocide was happeining before we were there. Saddam gassed his people. Killed anyone that stood against him. We did nothing. As for it being murder? If it is unjustified it is but when you are being shot at you have a right to defend yourself. I have friends and family members over there fighting right now doing there job, following orders. So saying that what they are doing is murder is calling my family and long time friends murders and i do not appreciate that. They are willing to die for people they have never met in any nation on this planet. I do agree that the country is in shambles and we are trying to fix it but rome was not built in a day. It WILL get better. Give it time. Oh and rad55667 knows what he is talking about!!

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Fickel_Pickel - 2007/09/19 21:38

"first of all we are not there for oil" -fsudude2010

"I am saddened that it is politically inconvenient to acknowledge what everyone knows: the Iraq war is largely about oil" - Alan Greenspan Chairman of the Federal Reserve 1987-2006.

"The UN inspectors were kicked out after a few weeks of "inspections". They were denied access to many locals in the country. The UN gave deadlines and did not enforce them. So the US did." -fsudude2010

Un weapons inspectors were let back into Iraq in 2002. They were not thrown out by Saddam, rather they were asked to leave by the US.

"The commission has not at any time during the inspections in Iraq found evidence of the continuation or resumption of programs of weapons of mass destruction " -Hans Blix

"Saddam gassed his people. Killed anyone that stood against him. We did nothing." -fsudude2010

Actually we did do something. During the Reagan administration US companies provided Saddam the building blocks for his chemical weapons program. We helped him get those weapons, he killed his own people, and Reagan did nothing.

"So saying that what they are doing is murder is calling my family and long time friends murders and i do not appreciate that." -fsudude2010

The truth is to be understood not appreciated. There have been gross human rights violations committed by US troops and US contractors.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by SimplySimon - 2007/09/20 01:38

Soulfire wrote:

9/11 gave the US a perfect chance to start picking on those standing in its way of cheaper oil

You say this like it's a bad thing. How many times a day do you drive (or ride) somewhere? How many times do you flip on a lightswitch for light, or turn a faucet for water? My guess is quite a few ... And most of it is powered by oil. Oil that we need to be cheaper

Wait, what?

So you're saying a MORAL and ETHICAL reason for the war in Iraq is 'We need cheaper oil'?

That is just ridiculous!

Oh no! The Iraqis have developed a sense of independence and are now selling their oil for fair and reasonable prices! THIS OF COURSE MEANS WAR! How dare they charge a fair price to boost economic growth when we are able to enjoy the cheap, abundance of oil, all while limiting Iraq's potential growth!?!

This war is, quite obviously, all about oil! If it wasn't about oil then why has the US not entered North Korea especially since it is publicly known they have nuclear weapons, have tested nuclear weapons and are willing to use them if necessary? Oh, I remember why, North Korea has no oil!

This war is just ridiculous and pointless, the sooner we are out, the better.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by chemical_flare - 2007/09/20 04:26

I think the Bush admin. are much smarter than they are given credit for...which makes me wonder why they HAD to invade? I don't personally think it was for oil. If it was, we would be using their fields to max production, which they aren't.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by rad55667 - 2007/09/20 10:32

In all fairness the reason why the we don't invade North Korea has nothing to do with its lack of oil, We could never invade North Korea as we fear the Dragon that protects it

Post edited by: rad55667, at: 2007/09/20 10:34

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by MRHollen - 2008/05/20 16:27

AHAHAHAHAHAHA!

Cheeper oil... Thats a good one.:P

Yeah... lets try not to have a repeat of Vietnam by getting out of there too soon. The second we pull out Iraq it'll be like WWII. (Unless Iraq is able to fight off any threat, like Iran. However, they are soooo not able to do that right now.)

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Football_Boy - 2008/05/21 13:01

1. Iraq is a giant waste of time.
2. Saddam wasn't hurting us, and the US violated its own supposed ideals (ie. the Atlantic Charter) by declaring war on a sovrein nation for its own private benefit.
3. We're getting thousands of innocent people killed every day we stay there.
4. The US economy is facing a major slowdown due to the massive costs of supplying such a large amount of troops overseas.
5. George W. Bush is a certifiable moron...

Thank you!

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Football_Boy - 2008/05/21 13:03

1. Iraq is a giant waste of time.
2. Saddam wasn't hurting us, and the US violated its own supposed ideals (ie. the Atlantic Charter) by declaring war on a sovrein nation for its own private benefit.
3. We're getting thousands of innocent people killed every day we stay there.
4. The US economy is facing a major slowdown due to the massive costs of supplying such a large amount of troops overseas.
5. George W. Bush is a certifiable moron...

Thank you!

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by BravoLima - 2008/05/22 02:50

I think I've seen this somewhere.

The war, not the post, btw.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by YeyoPR - 2008/05/28 02:51

My Thoughts on Iraq?

I oppose the war, i think it is an unfair and unjust war... BUT I DO SUPPORT ALL THE MEN AND WOMEN NOT ONLY IN IRAQ, BUT ALL OVER THE WORLD, who risk their lives day after day to defend our nation. No matter if he is black, white, yellow, asian, latino, whatever... if u stand for my flag, I support u.... and ill possibly join the military and help you out defending out nation after college!

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by YeyoPR - 2008/05/28 02:54

Football_Boy wrote:

5. George W. Bush is a certifiable moron...

Thank you!

...i agree!! GWB IS A MORON!! ...and by the way, GO OBAMA!

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by samh004 - 2008/05/28 03:04

Lets not pull this off topic. I think the Iraq war was based on bad intelligence and never should have gone ahead, but it has, and now there's a mess that needs to be cleaned up.

I doubt the country will ever be stable again (before it was stable thanks to fear and genocide, which worked but was wrong). I don't think the Iraqi government will ever be able to make something of their country, however I do think appropriate dialogue with it's neighbour's, chiefly Iran, would go a long way to stabilising most of the country and would lead to a better job than the "coalition" can do right now.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Soulfire - 2008/06/05 23:12

I do not support the war, but in all fairness, what would you do if you were president and a few things happened...

- 1) September 11th, which knocked us into hysteria and paranoia.
 - 2) Your intelligence was telling you that Saddam was a threat.
 - 3) God told you too
- *GWB claims that God told him to enter this war.

I dunno, it turned out to be a flop, which is why I think we should cut our losses and focus on domestic problems before we go shove our noses into other peoples' issues.

OBAMA 08!

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by jordansmith800 - 2008/06/14 05:29

Didnt it start off as Us's war? But now all you ever hear about is england troops dieing.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Bigc215 - 2008/06/18 12:06

The war in Iraq is one that never should have been entered in the first place. The reasons for going to war were wrong and there for Congress was misinformed when they declared war. Now th8at thats out of the way. A time table that is trying to be approved would be the worst plan of action. This would simply give the insurgents a date to focus on and attck when we pull out. I agree that is a great feat bringing freedom to the Iraqi people but it never should have been done. Now we are bringing Iran into the war against us. Iran possibly has nuclear weapons. Iran led by Ahmadinejad could detonate a nuclear weapon and kill millions with just one nuclear detonation. It just doesn't seem worth it. Also IED's made in Iran are now beiong found in Iraq splitting humvees in half.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Mketh - 2008/06/21 08:10

Personally, I hate the idea of war, especially the continued war on Iraq, those thousands of innocent people who died in the Bagdad bombings because of Australian and American troops, its sick really, I don't support it, and if you do, your basically SICK to me

And what about the war against the us and uk people?

and those many thousands that are attacked each day,directly and indirectly by the people of Iraq and the people who hold up in Iraq?

Tony Blair sheepishly admitted on National Television that there was basically little evidence of the presence of 'Weapons of Mass Destruction™'. Surely combined Brit/US 'intelligence' could have at least come up with something before the war! It's funny how several Republican politicians hold key positions in various oil companies... must just be a coincidence

Is training people to blow other people up not seriously a wepaon of mass destuction?All you have to do is look to 9/11 ans 7/7 to prove that theses people are exeemist and do what they please

As with the mass murders that took place over the years in places like Iraq.

I think we should have pulled out long ago but i feel we still should have gone in.

Removing Saddam was a great idea, as he has in the past proven he will use force against other nations (Invading Iran starting the Iran-Iraq war, invading Kuwait, etc.). Saddam also ignored many attempts at U.N. help + pressure. He was asking for war, begging for war.

That's not to say that we shouldn't have a plan. I honestly think we need a huge troop surge to push out the insurgency, and get together a withdrawl plan. I think 2008 may be too soon for a withdrawl, but we need to be thinking about finishing this war. It is clear that slapping a banner on an aircraft carrier that says "Mission Accomplished" does not mean the end of war -- America still has a ways to go

Its not just America though,its all the other countrys involved in this aswe,they need to buck up there ideas and work together,sort out a plan and get out of there,the only way the country is ever going to find its feet is if we dont baby them.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by BloodSoakedRoses - 2008/06/23 03:42

The war has gone on for too long. it was America's war & should have just been theirs alone, but then we had to get

involved & now it's just a glorified slaughter :angry:

don't get me wrong, the fight against terrorism is very real, but the government focuses so much on what happens in other countries that they can't see the fabric of our society unravelling beneath their feet.

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by k393 - 2008/06/26 20:39

the war in Iraq is a complete disaster, and is not and never has been a good idea. Iraq is distracting America from the war in Afghanistan, where the ppl responsible for 9/11 were and still are. also, America was attacked in 2001 BECAUSE there was american military in the middle east. there4, we should have as little military in the middle east as possible. There is a group called "al Queda (sp?) in Iraq" that did not exist UNTIL america invaded Iraq (osama bin laden and saddam hussein were enemies, so hussein managed to keep al Queda out of his country. al queda was mostly in afghanistan where the taliban protecting them). we need to honor the men and women who fight to defend our country and bring them home or to Afghanistan, where we should focus more of our energy on.

OBAMA '08!

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Warwick417 - 2008/07/30 19:04

Don't like warrrr.
Really don't like secret motives to war and lying about it.
Definitely do not like innocent people dying in wars.

I dunno what else to say, lol, I'm very decided about this issue. If anyone ever makes me support war they should win a big prize.

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by salem firefighter - 2008/08/08 21:45

well i think itle be over soon ive already had one tour in iraq but it realy aint as bad as it seams ya theres little kids running around with ak's that will shoot ya but you got to be prepared and motivated to expect the worst but ya after 911 happend was wen i got shipped out so it sucs:angry:

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by CowsPollute - 2008/08/13 10:52

i don't have very good insights and i don't live in the US but it seems that lots of people are upset about the war or something. =S but i sure do feel bad for the people that died.

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by --Kyle-- - 2008/08/14 18:12

the iraq war is in no way genocide, but is rather the attempt to restore peace to a country that has lacked it for years. Along with that, there are benefits that come to the US, like having a democratically elected government in a region where we need all the allies we can get. There is always bloodshed before peace, it is unfortunate, but you can't approach terrorists with diplomatic efforts, the only possibility was war, and that's what has worked in the past. we are ultimately saving more lives this way...

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Prometheus - 2008/08/16 13:01

Cough... keep telling yourself that Kyle.

The reason for going into Iraq: Saddam had papers connecting him to Osama Bin Laden

The reason for staying in Iraq: Oil, Democracy, Bush cant stand that he was wrong, everyone hopes that it will work itself out.

Why going to Iraq in the first place was wrong: The terrorists connected to 9/11 and Saddam are in Saudia Arabia. The war in Afganistan was already taking a huge toll on us, and forced us to divide forces and now Afganistan is a bigger threat than Iraq.

Quote: "After being attacked on 9/11, for us going into Iraq would be like invading Mexico after Japan bombed Pearl harbor." -Cant remember who said it... in a book.

Terrorist numbers have tripled since we entered the reason, why? The idea of "kill americans" no longer requires them to travel into our borders, they can walk outside, pick up the paper, and shoot one of us as we walk by.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by lvftw - 2008/08/19 04:25

I agree with the war in Iraq on principle, although not managed well...

Thousands of innocent people who died in Baghdad bombings???

I see you don't know much about U.S Military equipment, Bombs and such. ALL bombs dropped on Iraq during the first weeks, were laser guided/precision guided bombs. They hit NO civilian targets, all Military, and Saddam Targets. There were NO civilian casualites regarding bombing.

Honestly, people who are complaining about the casualties, look how long we have been in Iraq, look how long we were in Vietnam, the numbers are not even close. The amount of troop loss is very LOW compared to what it COULD be.

20 years from now, the war in Iraq will go down in history, as a good thing. I don't see how people are crying about liberating 27million people.

Now to address our communities own little "9/11 truthers", even the most elite of the liberals in the U.S don't believe it was a inside job.

Also, every single conspiracy has been in-fact de-bunked.

Regarding Oil, the conspiracy that we invaded Iraq for Oil is 100% complete bogus, we haven't gotten a drop of free oil from Iraq, in fact we pay full price for it.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by lvftw - 2008/08/19 04:27

I would also like to add we are WINNING the war now, so again any GOOD reason why we shouldn't be there right now?

Forgot what else I was going to say.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Prometheus - 2008/08/20 00:48

we aren't winning, look above at previous post and answer at will

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by lvftw - 2008/08/20 01:19

You have no FACTUAL proof to support your very Liberal BIASED opinion.

Reasons staying in Iraq? Oil? Whats with oil? We get no free oil, try again please, Democracy? and thats a bad thing?

Ok no WMD's, Bush went with what both U.S and British Intel was saying. BTW Iraq did in-fact have mustard gas which=WMD.

Afghanistan was taking a huge toll on us? LOL no it wasn't, divide our forces? We don't even have 200,000 U.S forces in Iraq/Afghanistan. If we did that is only 2/10 of our Military LOL..

Yes, but you also forget support from countries for terrorists has dramatically decreased, decreasing their ability to actually attack us.

Before 9/11 there was 1 democracy in the Middle East, which was Isreal.

Now, there are 3, Isreal,Iraq, and Afghanistan. 20 Years from now, the world will look at it as a good thing, and it's a good thing for America as the middle east gets more and more democratic states.

Next time, you might actually wan't to use factual evidence to support you very liberal loony claims, that have no evidence, other then your biased opinion.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Prometheus - 2008/08/20 01:51

if I could copy n paste on this iPod I would show you facts but I can't right now. And I believe WMD meant nuclear, which bush said himself, showing he can't pronounce it if you recall.

Calling me biased and without fact is stupid, you aren't using facts either. You can say this I'll say that, no proof who is right. If you want actual evidence I'll go bury myself in my debate evidence and show you, though unless you buy from the auther you will never know if I'm lying or not because we buy books to find our facts.

So yeah, its really it word vs us. Even with websites its all biased or not based on facts.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by lvftw - 2008/08/20 04:32

WMD=Weapons of Mass Destruction, Saddam using mustard gas to wipe out hundreds of thousands of Kurds would qualify that a WMD, main reason why the U.S had 10+ countries that actually went in with the initial invasion...

Yes I am using facts, Authors are biased both ways, I go by the source, and only the source.

Eh FOX is pretty fair and balanced regarding most things.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Prometheus - 2008/08/20 11:35

no media is unbiased, which world do you live in?

And also, when you said that people are dying not everyday, where did u get that from? Because I pretty sure people are dying everyday overthere. I'm just curious'

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by G_Explorer_365 - 2008/08/22 18:14

I think that the war in Iraq was a bad idea but that now that we are there we are stuck and cannot get out. the president has realized that and so do most of his followers changing their opinion of his first and second term.

Although I think that this war has or will change to a war over oil very soon and this kind of war wont end well.

So I think our best bet is to stay and do a gradual recede out of Iraq that ill take a years . If you remember we don't fully leave the countries we invade or intervene in.

Another very important thing taht has to be done is find another kind of fuel because folks we cant rely on this terrorist and others control our economy and transportation.

__AJ

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by boypet - 2008/08/23 00:26

I am strongly opposed to the war in Irag but they need to send the troops home safely and not all at once.

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by lvftw - 2008/08/23 20:21

We are winning the war, and if you haven't checked the news, all U.S Combat troops will be out of Iraq by 2011, and the war was never over oil, we have yet to get one drop of free oil.

It's a liberal point that is all.

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by lvftw - 2008/08/23 20:24

You are correct, however FOX is the least biased. (FOX is the most watched network by a LARGE amount)...

U.S Troops are not dying everyday in Iraq now, they aren't. I've got multiple family members serving in Iraq, One is a Marine infantry Major, another is a MP...

The fighting has died down quite a bit, troops aren't dying everyday like a year ago. Hence why the Bush administration has a horizon for when we will withdraw, which would be 2011 ALL U.S Combat troops will be out of Iraq.

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Prometheus - 2008/08/25 12:09

First of all, can we agree that going into Iraq was wrong for the reasons we were given? The people were scared into accepting the war because we were brainwashed into thinking that it actually had to do with 9/11, which there is no connection to as of yet. It has taken away the focus of the true battle, finding the terrorists that attacked us and capturing and killing them. Iraq is a distraction to the real war on terror, of which it shouldnt even be a part of.

And the Iraq war, which was launched so that, "we could fight them there instead of at home" has made us more unsafe than ever. Terrorists are recruiting faster than we can kill or capture them and the threat is now worse than ever before, which is from a study of multiple (16) intelligence agencies of the US.

Now we are stuck with deciding whether to stay in Iraq or leave, which are both bad options that will both turn out badly, but the least of the evil is pulling out.

We cant win the war, no matter what anyone says. We went in for allegations of WMD's and Al Qaeda ties, which no WMD's were found and the supposed "ties" are up for trials of forgery within the CIA, which will no doubt ever make it to an actual government court.

With our military pressed in afganistan and Iraq, we will be unable to address the real threats, like Iran and North Korea, who actualy have WMD's that have been confirmed. Al Qaeda arent even the only people we are fighting in Iraq, there are multiple Islamic sects that are trying to kill americans so they can go to their heaven, for they believe killing non-believers is what they are set here to do and all that bogus.

And when we leave, Iraq wont turn to chaos, because it turned into chaos when we arrived there. The status Quo is chaos, and thus chaos cannot be a new thing if we leave. The only change in Status Quo from leaving will be saving US tax payer money and US LIVES. Which are important if anyone cares.

All these facts are from my favorite argument book for politics i have read so far.

And here is a direct quote from it to finish off this argument:

"The Iraq war has been the worst strategic disaster in Us History, that is, until we invade Iran."

655,000 - Iraqi civilians who have died from the war we started.

eight billion dollars - the amount spend each month in Iraq.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by BenRocksYourSocks - 2008/08/26 08:16

To the second post person. Your far from good. Your just another idealistic liberal youngling who thinks he knows best. The war is a major success, and since it is, there is no terrorism here because of our security that BUSH stepped up, and his efforts to keep the fighting over there.

Also, if you want the new candidates to be different from Bush on foreign policies, think agian. For the past 4 years Bush has been pretty much submissive on foreign affairs, unlike his first term. So, he is doing good, but of course the liberal media will not show you he is doing good.

The war is a success, 4,000 deaths in this long war seems a small price to pay considering we lost over 3,000 in ONE terror attack. Their on the run, America is victorious, and there is now a withdrawal plan with Iraq. Everthing is fine now.

For the religions in the country, I say we do what we did in Vietnam, and wall them off from each other. Its worked over there for South Korea, not North because their still a communistic mess.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by BenRocksYourSocks - 2008/08/26 08:18

lvftw wrote:

We are winning the war, and if you haven't checked the news, all U.S Combat troops will be out of Iraq by 2011, and the war was never over oil, we have yet to get one drop of free oil.

It's a liberal point that is all.

Finally, another competent person who knows this entire "oil war" is a thing the liberals cooked up in their wildest dreams to make Bush seem worse.

Face it everybody. Bush was right.

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Prometheus - 2008/08/26 13:09

BenRocksYourSocks wrote:

lvftw wrote:

We are winning the war, and if you haven't checked the news, all U.S Combat troops will be out of Iraq by 2011, and the war was never over oil, we have yet to get one drop of free oil.

It's a liberal point that is all.

Finally, another competent person who knows this entire "oil war" is a thing the liberals cooked up in their wildest dreams to make Bush seem worse.

Face it everybody. Bush was right.

I would love to hear you explain why it was right to invade Iraq in the first place. Please, do.

And i wouldnt compare Iraq to Vietnam, figuring that we lost in Vietnam it doesnt solodify your argument.

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by G_Explorer_365 - 2008/08/28 12:55

First off in my earlier I was rambling on about oil which really wasn't the cause of the war and I am sorry for confusing some of you guys. Anyway I believe that the war was started at first because we needed to go and get the terrorist who blew up the World Trade Centers. Then seeing as he kept hiding and things we got suckered into something else and all the Sadam Husein mess. We never seemed to have been able to get the terrorist we needed but then when Sadam was killed things changed. Now we had an even more unstable government than before. And what were we trying to do turn it into a stable democracy. thats a great idea but its not working well. So we need to stop playing police in iraq and let them finish this. If they really want it they may get it in the years to come.

And just a sidenote: Vietnam was just like this. We went over there to play police in another countries affairs. Now I am not saying playing police is bad but we really should start leaving it to the United Nations. We need to get more faith in them because this shouldnt be just a job that the United States has.

Alright now if I have made in mistakes you guys should feel free to correct me.

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Draco G - 2008/08/30 16:08

I don't fully understand this war...all i know that it was a general retaliation of the terrorist attacks made on america in 2001, since then there has been catastrophic mahem between the nations of america, the UK and generally now the Middle East. It has evolved into a war of causation, a war of habit without reason...a sinsiter act of control, malicious

cruelty and an excuse for both america and the UK to show off their military capabilities...all instead of simple negotiation as much as the people in power may say they are. I cannot say that america and the UK are entirely responsible, the Middle East have reacted badly and given out their own interpretation of war in a bad way...but now it is time to stop, everyone, counting back to 2001 so many lives have been taken...some people don't take into consideration how precious one life is, not realising that the person killed will be unable to talk or think ever again or be with the ones they may have loved.

War is a bad thing, a very bad thing. It get's to a point where people don't know what they're fighting for anymore...every life has a million stories to tell, to live life to the best, you must treat other people the way you wish to be treated, promoting the equality that everyone born into this world deserves.

Spend the stupid amounts of money wasted on military superpowers into more important and already lack of funding areas such as education, health and general standardization of living.

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by lvftw - 2008/09/02 02:03

BenRocksYourSocks wrote:

lvftw wrote:

We are winning the war, and if you haven't checked the news, all U.S Combat troops will be out of Iraq by 2011, and the war was never over oil, we have yet to get one drop of free oil.

It's a liberal point that is all.

Finally, another competent person who knows this entire "oil war" is a thing the liberals cooked up in their wildest dreams to make Bush seem worse.

Face it everybody. Bush was right.

We're one of the few lol...

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by lvftw - 2008/09/02 02:06

Draco G wrote:

I don't fully understand this war...all i know that it was a general retaliation of the terrorist attacks made on america in 2001, since then there has been catastrophic mahem between the nations of america, the UK and generally now the Middle East. It has evolved into a war of causation, a war of habit without reason...a sinsiter act of control, malicious cruelty and an excuse for both america and the UK to show off their military capabilities...all instead of simple negotiation as much as the people in power may say they are. I cannot say that america and the UK are entirely responsible, the Middle East have reacted badly and given out their own interpretation of war in a bad way...but now it is time to stop, everyone, counting back to 2001 so many lives have been taken...some people don't take into consideration how precious one life is, not realising that the person killed will be unable to talk or think ever again or be with the ones they may have loved.

War is a bad thing, a very bad thing. It get's to a point where people don't know what they're fighting for anymore...every life has a million stories to tell, to live life to the best, you must treat other people the way you wish to be treated, promoting the equality that everyone born into this world deserves.

Spend the stupid amounts of money wasted on military superpowers into more important and already lack of funding areas such as education, health and general standardization of living.

America was attacked, unprovoked..

We are in a holy war, it's called Jihad, you CAN'T negotiate with them. It's IMPOSSIBLE, they want to KILL you so they go to "HEAVEN"...

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by lvftw - 2008/09/02 02:31

Prometheus wrote:

First of all, can we agree that going into Iraq was wrong for the reasons we were given? The people were scared into accepting the war because we were brainwashed into thinking that it actually had to do with 9/11, which there is no connection to as of yet. It has taken away the focus of the true battle, finding the terrorists that attacked us and capturing and killing them. Iraq is a distraction to the real war on terror, of which it shouldn't even be a part of.

And the Iraq war, which was launched so that, "we could fight them there instead of at home" has made us more unsafe than ever. Terrorists are recruiting faster than we can kill or capture them and the threat is now worse than ever before, which is from a study of multiple (16) intelligence agencies of the US.

Now we are stuck with deciding whether to stay in Iraq or leave, which are both bad options that will both turn out badly, but the least of the evil is pulling out.

We can't win the war, no matter what anyone says. We went in for allegations of WMD's and Al Qaeda ties, which no WMD's were found and the supposed "ties" are up for trials of forgery within the CIA, which will no doubt ever make it to an actual government court.

With our military pressed in Afghanistan and Iraq, we will be unable to address the real threats. All these facts are from my favorite argument book for politics I have read so far.

And here is a direct quote from it to finish off this argument:

"The Iraq war has been the worst strategic disaster in US History, that is, until we invade Iran."

655,000 - Iraqi civilians who have died from the war we started.

I agree with the entry of the war being wrong HOWEVER. You need to look at this strategically, Iraq is a perfect place for a U.S. base. We can use it to attack anywhere in the region, and having Iraq secure will help the fight in Afghanistan.

The threat is worse, however we are A LOT safer than we were 8 years ago.

The lesser of two evils is pulling out of course, BUT ONLY when they can defend themselves and their fledgling government is ready.

HOW ARE WE NOT WINNING THE WAR??? LOL

We are set to withdraw ALL U.S. Combat troops by 2011, Iraq is a FREE AND DEMOCRATIC country. Can you EXPLAIN to me how we are losing???

Some news articles within the last week...

1. <http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,346789,00.html?sPage=fnc/world/iraq> - Army Combat Tours Expected to Be Cut From 15 to 12 Months in Iraq and Afghanistan
2. <http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,414364,00.html> - U.S. Military Returns Control of Anbar Province to Iraqis
3. <http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,407424,00.html?sPage=fnc/politics/pentagon> - U.S., Iraq Reach Tentative Deal to Withdraw American Troops

Those are just a few new articles I could find without looking far...

Once again, HOW ARE WE LOSING THE WAR IN IRAQ? Al Qaeda is switching focus to Afghanistan now, We handed over 1 of the 19 or so provinces to the Iraqis, U.S. Casualties are down in Iraq, and the U.S./Iraqi security deal will be official soon, meaning we will be out of Iraq by 2011..

Your liberal talking points are no longer relevant, and you still can't provide one shred of evidence supporting your idea that we are "Losing" the war in Iraq.

Even democrats and liberals are saying we are now winning the war.

Another thing;

Our military is not pressed, we have less than 2/10 of our Military deployed in the Middle East...

lol that quote makes me laugh because, if and when we take out Iran. We wont set a boot on the ground, it would all be navy/air force. Would take two weeks to force them to surrender.

Rebuild Iran? In your fucking dreams, other countries can donate.

Out of those 665,000 "civilian casualties", how many are from U.S troops, maybe 10,000 TOPS, thats a very liberal estimate. The rest is from the insurgents, and Alqaida.

AGAIN LET ME POSE MY QUESTION;

HOW ARE WE LOSING THE WAR IN IRAQ?

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by peterson - 2008/09/16 00:08

Literally the dumbest thing I've read in over a year.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Biohazerd - 2008/10/06 07:26

idk i'm not so sure that the War on Iraq was such a good idea it's had it's possitive and negative effects.

Yeah Saddam Hussaine is no longer leader and that the US is trying to create a government but, are all the Civil Casieltes worth it? and lets not forget that this War started under prett gloomy Evidense that Iraq had Weapons of Mass Destruction. I am led to belive that they did this war for Oil control, andas to what the troops are doign in Iraq well it would be pretty messed up to leave now becoue there would be more civil deaths then there already are and god knows what would happen to the rugged Goerment the US has set up.

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by Compte - 2008/11/08 22:46

lvftw wrote:

AGAIN LET ME POSE MY QUESTION;

HOW ARE WE LOSING THE WAR IN IRAQ?

Simple.
People are dying.
Everyone's losing.

Its easy to rattle off statistics and mention all the gains we're making, but you can't place a monetary value on a person's life.

You can point out how many Iraqis are dead versus how many Americans are dead, but ultimately when you're dead, you're dead.

I'm yet to see how dead people qualifies as a 'victory'.

And if we have established democracy, if Iraq does have a budget surplus, if 'Al-Qaida' is relocating Afghanistan, and the U.S. is so much safer, why are we still there?

You can say we're not losing, but that begs the question, are we winning?

=====

Re:WAR ON IRAQ - YOUR THOUGHTS

Posted by rueloflaw - 2008/11/09 09:09

The only reason i support the war is because my boy is in iraq right now and i know how passionate he is about the war.
(he's 18)

=====